



2009

}
(" 15) {

.

.

)
(

.

	:
1	1.1
3	2.1
3	3.1
4	4.1
4	5.1
4	6.1
5	7.1
6	8.1
	:
7	1.2
22	2.2
	:
33	1.3
33	2.3
38	3.3
39	4.3
40	5.3
40	6.3

	:
41	1.4
49	2.4
55	3.4
62	4 .4
63	
68	

الرقم	عنوانه
-1	34
-2	35
-3	35
-4	36
-5	37
-6	37
-7	38
-8	41
-9	42
-10	44
-11	45
-12	46
-13	48
-14	49 (Analysis Of variance)
-15	49
-16	50
-17	52
-18	53
-19	55 (ANOVA)

)
(

68

73

.

2009

. (23) (100)

:

-1

.

-2

)

.(

-3

)

.(

-4

)

.(

.

Abstract

The relationship of socialization and juvenile delinquency, from the point of view of events in the role of observation in the province of Jeddah in Saudi Arabia

Turki Bndor Al Harbie

Mu'tah University, 2009

The study aimed at identify in to the relationship of socialization and juvenile delinquency, from the standpoint of the workers and the juveniles in the role of observation in the province of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, and the population of the study (100) juvenile, and (23) staff. The study reveled the following results:

- 1- There is an adjective a relationship between patterns of family socialization in the alleviation of deviant behavior among juvenile delinquents in the role juvenile of observation in the province of Jeddah.
- 2 - There is an adjective relationship between the pattern of the democratic education of the father and the demographic variables (educational level, age, level of education of the father and the level of education of the mother, the father and the work of the mother and monthly income).
- 3 - There is a relationship between the pattern of the democratic education of the mother and demographic variables (educational level, age, level of education of the father and the level of education of the mother, the father and the work of the mother and monthly income).
- 4 - There is a negative relationship between deviant behavior and demographic variables (educational level, age, level of education of the father and the level of education of the mother, the father and the work of the mother and monthly income).

The study recommends the usage democratic pattern method based on respect and give children the freedom of expression and away from the method based on domination and neglect to leave a negative impact on the personality of children is the tendency to deviant behavior.

1.1 :

— — .

(2008).

.
.

.(12 2003 39 2004).

.

.

.(16 2000)
()

.

2003).

.(39

2 .1

) (39270) 2008

(2009

: 3 .1

:

"

.

:

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

: **4 .1**

"

:

.

-1

.

-2

.

-3

-4

.

-5

.

: **5 .1**

:

:

:

: **6 .1**

:

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

:

\cdot
 $:$
 $)$
 $.($
 $:$
 $)$
 $.($
 $(0.05 \geq \alpha)$
 $:$
 $)$
 $.($
 $:$
 $)$
 $.($
 $:$
 7.1
 $:$
 $.1$
 $:$
 $:$
 $:$
 $.(28-9)$
 $:$
 $:$
 $.(8-1)$

· :

.(38-29)

.2 :

.(1977)

·

8-1

-1 :

.2009 :

-3 :

·

:

1.2

.

.

.

.(2001)

.

)

(2006

.(2008)

.

.(2004)

:

:

)

.(2005

(Diana Bombard)

:

.

.(Heaven. et.al, 2004)

:

:

.(2005)

.(2004)

:

.

.(Heaven, & Virgen, 2001)

.(Hoeve, Loeber, Loeber, Lan, Gerris, 2007)

:

.(2003)

)

.(2006

(Juras, 2004)

.

:

.(2007)

عقاب،

(2006).

(2004).

:

1.

:

.

).

(2003

:

2.

)

.(2004

.(2004)

(Lavoiv,2002)

(Loeber, Stouthamer-Loeber, White, 2008)

.(2006)

.(2005 ،)

:

.

"

(16 :1991)

.

.(10 :1997)

(29 :2002)

.

:

(2001)

·
: (1998)

·
: (226 :2000)

·
:
:
()

·
:
:
1

·
" :
·
"

·
:
(119: 2008).

()

·

·
.(2002)

:

·
()

.(119 : 2008)

:

2

(124 :2008)

·

()

·

:

(

·

. :

: Differential Association 1

Edwin Sutherland " "

" "

Differential Association ()

.

.

: .3

"

"

(2004)

: - .4

:(2006)

. -
- .

:(2001)

.1

:

(1

(2

(3

.2

.3

.

.4

.

:

.5

.(2004)

.(2002)

.

:-

.
:

(2009)

.(2001)

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

:-

-

-

.

:-

.1

1992/10/24

(2009)

.(2001)

)

.(2009

-:

:(2009

)

-
-
-
-

: 2.2

:

:

.

" (2008)

"

(61)

%68 .

%56

.

6 4

.

:

" (2007)

"

.()

.

7

.

.

.

.

.

(2007)

.

()

(440)

Multiple)

:

.(Regression

- 1

-2

" (2006)

-

"

(83)

.

.

"

(2006)

"

(400)

(/ /)

(200) (200)

2005-2004

.

(2005)

-1 :

-2

-3

(24) (60)

.

(2004)

.

.

.

(600)

.(— —)

(2003)

.

.

.

"

(2003)

"

..

(48)

. 2003/8/31 2003/8/28

.

"

(2003

"

) (197)

:(.

.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

"

(2001)

:

1999/1998

99

.

(1997)

134

30

70

. 234

.

(1996)

%86.6

.

(1994)

80

%40

.

30

(1994)

%93.3

.

(1993)

.

70

%93

%80

(Wintzel & Asher, 2009)

(423)

:

:

(Hiram, et al, 2008)

(Mark, et.al, 2004)

(M.T.F.C) ()

G.C ()

(14.9)

(M.T.F.C. = 37) & (G.C= 42)

(79)

(M.T.F.C)

(M.T.F.C)

(M.T.F.C)

.

(G.C)

(

(M.T.F.C)

(G.C)

%24

.%5

(Laura, et.al,1995)

(365)

12-6

(Kapp,Clare,2001)

(Paschal, et.al, 2003)

(260)

(16-12)

(Garret, 1984)

.

:

: **.1.3**

.

.

: **2 .3**

(154)

(2009/7/16)

(103)

(3)

(%64.9)

(100)

.

(31)

(2)

(25)

(%74.2)

(23)

.

:

:

:

.

(1)

%				
%26	26	15	-	12
%74	74			18- 16
%5	5			
%19	19			
%45	45			
%31	31			
%54	54		3000	
%17	17		6990-3000	
%10	10		9990-7000	
%19	19		10000	

(1)

(%74) (18-16)

.(%31)

(%45)

.(%19)

(%54) (3000)

(10000) (%19)

(6990-3000) (%17)

.(%10) (9990-7000)

.

: .1

(2)

(%25)

(%28)

(%18) (%19)

(%40)

.(%17) (%17) (%26)

(2)

%		%	
40	40	19	19
26	26	28	28
17	17	18	18
11	11	25	25
2	2	4	4
4	4	6	6
%100	100	%100	100

: .2

(3)

(%21) (%25)

(%19)

.(%10) (%90)

(3)

%		%	
90	90	17	17
10	10	25	25
-	-	21	21
-	-	19	19
-	-	18	18
%100	100	%100	100

: **.3**

49-45) (4)
 (%28) (55) (%29) (
 (%19) (55-50)
 (44-40) (%38) (40)
 (%22) (49-45) (%26)
 .(%4) (55)
 (4)

%		%		
38	38	13	13	40
26	26	11	11	44-40
22	22	29	29	49-45
10	10	19	19	55-50
4	4	28	28	55
%100	100	%100	100	

: **.4**

(4)
 (%4) (%13) (%81)
 (%27) (%67)
 .(%1) (%5)

(5)

%	
%45	45
%22	22
%10	10
%23	23
	(...)
%88	88
%9	9
%3	3
%82	82
%18	18

(5)

(%22) (%45)

(%82)

(%88)

.

(6)

%		%	
67	67	81	81
27	27	13	13
5	5	4	4
1	1	2	2
%100	100	%100	100

: :

(7)

%			
%4.3	1	30	
%69.6	16	40-30	
%26.1	6	50-41	
-	-	51	
%17.4	4		
%8.7	2		
%65.2	15		
%8.7	2		
%30.4	7	5	
%21.7	5	10	-5
%13.0	3	15	-11
%34.8	8	15	
%21.7	5		
%21.7	5		
-	-		
-	-		
%56.6	13		

(7)

(%69.6) (40-30)

(%34.8) (15) .(%65.2)

(%56.6) (%21.7)

3 .3

:

: **.1**

.
:
:(1984)
(38) ()
()
()
()
() (1) (5-1)
. () (5)

: **.2**

. () (15) (2006)

.3

:

(16)

)
() (1) (5-1) ()
. () (5) ()

: **4 .3**

5.3

:

(Split in to half)

.	-
0.83	0.88
0.90	0.89
0.82	0.85
	0.90

6.3

:

.(Spss.15)

(Descriptive Statistic Measures) -1

.(Multiple Regression Analysis) -2

.(Biserial correlation) -3

(One Way Anova) -4

(Pearson's Correlation Matrix)

(Multiple Regression Analysis)

(Biserial correlation

).(Anova)

: **1 .4**

:

.

. 2.33 -1

. 3.66 -2.34 -2

. -3

(8)

.

(8)

0.97	2.96
0.92	3.57
1.03	2.64
0.99	2.87
0.95	3.80
1.00	2.86

(8)

0.99	(2.87)
0.95	3.80
.1.00	2.86

2.33 -1
3.66 -2.34 -2
3.67 -3

(9)

42

(9)

) (2) (0.59) (3.57)

(

(0.93) (3.77)

() (3)

.(0.96) (3.41)

) (4) (0.54) (3.80)

(

) (1) (0.89) (3.91)

(

.(0.97) (3.65)

.

.

:

.

. 2.33 -1

. 3.66 -2.34 -2

. 3.67 -3

(10)

1.06	2.98	1.05	3.13	/	.5
0.98	2.92	1.01	2.83	/	.6
1.05	2.68	1.02	2.76	/	.7
1.09	2.61	1.03	2.77	/	.8
1.03	3.18	0.99	3.33	/	.9
0.65	2.87	0.63	2.96		9-5

(10)

) (9) (0.63) (2.96)
(3.33) (
) (7) (0.99)
(
(1.02) (2.76)

) (9) (0.65) (2.87)
(3.18) (
) (7) (1.03)
(
(1.05) (2.68)

(11)

1.03	2.94	0.98	3.02		/	.10
1.01	2.75	0.93	2.80		/	.11
0.96	2.90	0.96	2.73		/	.12
0.99	2.91	0.85	2.65		/	.13
0.94	2.98	1.05	2.22		/	.14
0.99	3.09	0.94	2.88	/		.15
1.02	2.70	1.00	2.61		/	.16
0.92	2.91	0.99	3.01		/	.17
1.03	2.54	1.06	2.18		/	.18
0.95	2.91	1.03	2.34		/	.19
0.67	2.86	0.69	2.64			19-10

(11)

(3.02) (10) (0.69) (2.64) (

) (18) (0.98)
 (2.18) (
 .(1.06)
) (15) (0.67) (2.86)
 (
) (18) (0.99) (3.09)
 (
 .(1.03) (2.54)
 .
 :

(12)

%		%		
%42	42	%58	58	.1
%41	41	%59	59	.2
%43	43	%57	57	.3
%24	24	%76	76	.4
%29	29	%71	71	.5
%39	39	%61	61	() .6
%57	57	%43	43	.7
%59	59	%41	41	.8
%28	28	%72	72	.9
%31	31	%69	69	.10
%71	71	%29	29	.11
%53	53	%47	47	.12
%48	48	%52	52	.13
%35	35	%65	65	100 .14
%56	56	%44	44	100 .15

(12)

(%58)

(%76) .(%59)

(%71) .

.(%61)

(%65) (%72)

. 100

:

.

. 2.33 -1

. 3.66 -2.34 -2

. 3.67 -3

(13)

0.65	4.65		.3
0.65	4.35		.4
0.81	4.26		.5
0.81	4.26		.16
0.89	4.17		.7
0.83	4.17		.15
0.81	4.13		.9
0.69	4.13		.13
0.81	3.87		.12
0.83	3.83		.1
0.89	3.39		.6
1.03	3.35		.2
0.62	3.26		.14
0.97	3.13		.10
0.85	3.09		.11
0.98	3.04		.8
0.40	3.82		16-1

(13)

) (3) (0.40) (3.82)

(

) (8) (0.65) (4.65)

(

.(0.98) (3.04)

: 2-4

($\alpha \geq 0.05$)

:

(14)

0.00	70.68	0.688	0.83
------	-------	-------	------

(14)

70.68 ()

0.05

0.00

0.83

(%68.8)

.(15)

(15)

t	t	Beta	B
0.000	*14.558	0.831	0.016
0.627	0.488-	0.033-	0.020
0.936	0.081-	0.006-	0.001

($0.05 \geq \alpha$)

*

(15)

14.558 ()

0.05 0.000

(0.83)

.

:

)

.(

Biserial)

(16) (correlation

(16)

.

0.075	*0.236	-*0.128
0.115	0.000	0.007
0.022	0.278*	0.072
0.650	0.000	0.129
0.048	0.120	-*0.212
0.318	0.012	0.000
0.028	0.005	-*0.140
0.563	0.912	0.003
0.051	*0.186	*-0.295
0.287	0.000	0.000
*0.204	*0.368	0.049
0.005	0.000	0.305
*0.237	*0.411	0.010
0.001	0.000	0.839

(0.05 ≥ α) *

(16)

)

(

(-0.12)

(0.23)

.

(0.27)

.

(0.21-)

(0.14-)

- 0.18)

(0.29

(0.20 0.36)

(0.23 0.41)

.

)

(correlation

•

*

(

(0.24)

(0.21)

(0.40)

(0.376)

(0.20 0.19 0.15)

.

.

:

)

(0.05 ≥ α)

.(

(18)

.

<hr/>	
<hr/>	
0.000	*0.361-
0.000	*0.273-
0.000	*0.248-
0.000	*0.236-
0.000	*0.278-
0.000	*0.411-
0.000	0.288-
<hr/>	

(0.05 ≥ α)

*

(18)
)

(

(0.361-)

(0.273-)

(0.248-)

(0.236-)

(0.278-)

(0.411-)

(.0.228-)

(0.05 $\geq \alpha$)

)

.(

:

)

.(

(19)

(ANOVA)

)

(

()				
0.631	*0.471	0.079	2	0.159
		0.168	20	3.364
			22	3.523
0.731	*0.434	0.075	2	0.226
		0.174	20	3.297
			22	3.523
0.319	*1.251	0.194	2	0.581
		0.155	20	2.942
			22	3.523
0.128	*2.285	0.328	2	0.655
		0.143	20	2.868
			22	3.523
(α ≥0.05)				*

(19)

)

(

(2.28 1.25 0.47,0.43) ()

. 0.05

: 3.4

:

: :

:

(8)

:
:

-1

) (2)

(9)

(

/

(0.93)

(3.77)

(3.41)

(

/

) (3)

(0.96)

/

) (4)

(0.89)

(3.91)

(

(

/

) (1)

(0.97)

(3.65)

.

.

:

-2

) (9)

(10)

(3.33)

(

((1.02)) (7) (0.99)
(2.76)

) (5)
((8) (1.06) / (2.98)
(/
(1.09) (2.61)

(10)

·
:
(11) -3

) (10) (0.69) (2.64)
(0.98) (3.02) (
() (18)
(1.06) (2.18)

) (15) (0.67) (2.86)
(3.09) (
() (18) (0.99)
(1.03) (2.54)

.

:

(12)

1

„

(4.65)

) (3)

(3)

(

) (8)

(0.65)

(

(0.98)

(3.04)

(0.40)

(3.82)

.

(0.05= α)

:

(15)

.

.

"

)

.(

(16)

)

(

.

.

:

)

.(

(17)

.

):

)

(0.05= α)

.(

(18)

(0.05= α)

)

.(

.

):

)

.(

(ANOVA)

(19)

()

(2.28 1.25 0.43 0.47)

(0.05= α)

.

4 .4

)

-1

(

.

-2

.

-3

..

-4

.

-5

.

:

:

.

.(2004) .

.

.

.(2005)

.

"

" .(2003)

.

" .(2008)

.

.(2007)

.

.55

.(2001)

" (2006)

-

.

.(2003)

.126- 103

-

-

-

(2006)

1

.(2002).

.

.(2005).

.

.(1994)

.

.(1993)

:

.

.(2006)

.

1

.(2001)

. (1984) .

.

.(2006).

.

.(2001) .

.

. (2007) .

.

.(1998)

.234-217 (2) (41)

1

.(2004).

.(2001)

)

269-332 (1)12

:

.(1997)

.(2001)

.(2007)

.(2006)

.(1997)

.(2004)

.(1996)

1

.(2000) .

∴

.(1994)

∴

.

.(1991).

" .(2003)

.(2002)

" "

.

.(2001)

∴

29

.1

.(2000)

.

. 250-223

.(2008)

.(2003)

.(2008)

.(2009)

<http://www.mosa.gov.sa/portal/cdisplay.php?2009/2/29>

:

.

- Garret C.I, (1984), Effects of Residential Treatment on Adjudicated, Delinquents: Ameta Analysis **Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency**,35:32-344.
- Heaven, P. C. L., & Virgen, M. (2001). Personality, perceptions of family and peer influences, and males' self-reported delinquency. **Personality and Individual Differences**, 30(2), 321–331.
- Heaven, P. C. L., Newbury, K., & Mak, A. (2004). The impact of adolescent and parental characteristics on adolescent levels of delinquency and depression. **Personality and Individual Differences**, 36(1), 173–185.
- Hiram, E. F. et al(2008) **Parental aggression related to behavior problems in three years old sons of alcoholics. The world congress meeting of the world association on infant psychiatry and Allied disciplines** (4th, Lugono, Switzerland),. PP: 497 – 499.
- Hoeve, M., Smeenk, W. H., Loeber, R., Stouthamer-Loeber, M., Van der Laan, P. H., Gerris, J. R. M., et al. (2007). Long term effects of parenting and family characteristics on delinquency of male young adults. **European Journal of Criminology**, 4(2), 116–194.
- Juras, J. L. (2004). **Integrating models of risk and protection for the prevention of adolescent delinquency**. Dissertation Abstracts International, 65(4 B), 2126 (UMI No. 3129497).
- Kapp , Clare . (2001) . Violence Against Children Widespread , Says Human Right Report. **Lancet**, Vol.(358), (PP 1166). Available on <http://search.epnet.com/login.aspx>
- Laura , A.M ., Aurelio , J.F. and Mary, P.K.(1995).The Effects of Systemic Family Violence on Children's Mental Health. **Child Development**, Vol.(66), (PP 1240-1261).
- Loeber, R., Farrington, D. P., Stouthamer-Loeber, M., & White, H. R. (2008). Violence and serious theft: **Development and prediction from childhood to adulthood**. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Mark, R. Whaley, & P. Chamberlain, (2004), The Prevention fo Violent Behavior by Chronic and Serieuse Male Juvenile Offenders: A 2-Year Folow – up of Randomized Clinical Trial, **Journal of Emotional & Behaviorl Disorder**, Vol 12. No 1
- Paschal. J, Ch. Ringwalt, & R. Ringwalt, (2003), Effect of Parenting Father Absence and Affiliation with Delinquent Behavior Among African American Male Adolesents, **Adolescence**, Spring. Vol 38 No 149 PP. 15-20
- Wintzel, K. R. & Asher, S. R (2009) Academic lives of neglected rejected popular and controversial children, **Child Development**,. V66, N3, PP: 754 – 763.

()

"

"
.

.

	:	:	
18- 16 () -2	15 -	12 () -1 :	/ -1
() -2		() -1 :	-2
() -4		() -3	
6990-3000 () -2	3000	() -1 :	-3
10000 () -4	9990-7000	() -3	
49 -45 () -3	44 -40 () -2	40 () -1 :	-4
55 () -5	55 -50 () -4		
() -3	() -2	() -1:	-5
() -6	() -5	() -4	
	() -2	() -1 :	-6
	:		
() -3	() -2	() -1 :	-7
..... /	() -5	() -4	
	() -2	() -1 :	-8
	() -4	() -3	
49-45 () -3	44 -40 () -2	40 () -1 :	-9
55 () -5	55 -50 () -4		

	() -3	() -2	() -1 :	-10
	() -6	() -5	() -4	
	() -3	() -2	() -1 :	-11
 /	() -5	() -4	
		() -2	() -1 :	-12
		() -2	() -1 :	-13
		() -4	() -3	
.....	() -3	() -2	() -1 :	-14
 :			-15
3	() -3	() -2	() -1 :	-16
 :			-17

(5)

:

(×)

:

					()	
						.1
						.2
						.3
						.4
					()	
						.5
						.6
						.7
						.8
					()	
						.9
						.10
						.11
						.12
						.13
					()	
						.14
						.15
						.16
						.17
						.18
					()	
						.19
						.20

						.21
						.22
						.23
						.24
						.25
						.26
						.27
						.28
					()	
						.29
						.30
						.31
						.32
						.33
						.34
						.35
						.36
						.37
						.38

. (x) :

			.39
			.40
			.41
			.42
			.43
		()	.44
			.45
			.46
			.47
			.48
			.49
			.50
			.51
		100	.52
		100	.53

()

"

"

.

:		:		
		:		-1
50-41 () -3	40-30 () -2	30	() -1	
		51	() -4	
		:		-2
() -3	() -2		() -1	
			() -4	
		:		-3
10	-5 () -2	5	() -1	
15	() -4	15	-11 () -3	
		:		-4
	-5 () -2		() -1	
.....	() -5	() -4	() -3	

:

(×) :

(5)

						.54
						.55
						.56
						.57
						.58
						.59
						.60
						.61
						.62
						.63
						.64
						.65
						.66
						.67
						.68
						.69